

Controlling precedent dictates that obviousness cannot be established by combining teachings of prior art absent some teaching, suggestion, incentive, or motivation supporting the combination. *In re Bond*, 910 F.2d 841 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Because there is no such suggestion or teaching as contained within either the SCHULTZ or McCLURE references, alone or in combination, the Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner remove the SCHULTZ and McCLURE references and permit the present invention to be placed in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

REQUESTED CLERICAL AMENDMENT TO THE CLAIMS

The Applicant discovered during preparation of this Office Action that the following typographical error was set forth in the independent Claim 9, more specifically described below:

9. (Original) A method of bending a sheet, comprising:
- (a) simultaneously cutting first and second slits along a first side of said sheet;
 - (b) after step (a), simultaneously cutting first and second slits along a second side of said sheet;
 - (c) folding said sheet along a line passing from said first slit to said third slit; and
 - (d) folding said sheet along a line passing from said second slit to said fourth slit.

The following amended language is made to correct typographical error, thus making the claim read properly and consistent with the remaining provisions of the claim, already stated. The